EFNS guideline on mild traumatic brain injury: report of an EFNS task force
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In 1999, a Task Force on Mild Traumatic Brain Injury (MTBI) was set up under the auspices of the European Federation of Neurological Societies. Its aim was to propose an acceptable uniform nomenclature for MTBI and definition of MTBI, and to develop a set of rules to guide initial management with respect to ancillary investigations, hospital admission, observation and follow-up.

Introduction

That trauma of the head can cause brain injury has long been recognized. Indeed, the term commotio cerebri was used already in the sixteenth century by Ambroise Pare (cf. Denny-Brown and Russell, 1941; Frowein and Firsching, 1990). Trauma of the head is a common cause of morbidity and mortality in European countries. The incidence of traumatic brain injury (TBI) varies between 229 and 1967 per 100 000, with the highest incidence occurring in men aged 15–24 years (Jennett, 1996; Kraus et al., 1996). TBI is the leading cause of death among people younger than 45 years (Jennett, 1996; Kraus et al., 1996).

Usually, TBI is classified as mild, moderate or severe (Table 1). There is general agreement that in mild traumatic brain injury (MTBI) patients have a hospital admission Glasgow Coma Score (GCS) of 13–15 (Teasdale and Jennett, 1974; Williams et al., 1990; Gomez et al., 1996; Haydel et al., 2000; Stiell et al., 2001). In moderate TBI, patients have an admission GCS of 9–12, and in severe TBI an admission GCS of 8 or less after resuscitation (Table 1) (Bullock et al., 1996). A fourth (critical) grade refers to the severest form of TBI, in which there is evidence of brain stem damage (with acute midbrain or bulbar syndrome), with diminished or no pupillary reactions and decerebrate motor posturing, or absent pupillary and motor reactions. The outcome is almost invariably poor (death or a vegetative state/traumatic apallic syndrome) (Table 1) (Gerstenbrand and Lucking, 1970; Frowein and Firsching, 1990; Stein and Spetzell, 1995).

More than 95% of all TBIs are considered mild, with moderate and severe TBI together accounting for only 5% of cases (Meerhoff et al., 2000). Mortality in MTBI is low (between 0.04 and 0.29%) and almost exclusively caused by intracranial haemorrhage (Klauber et al., 1989). Intracranial haemorrhage (extradural or subdural) that often requires neurosurgical intervention occurs in 0.2–3.1% of all MTBI patients and between 6.3 and 21% have other intracranial complications [computed tomography (CT) abnormalities] (Shackford et al., 1992; Stein and Ross, 1992; Borczuk, 1995; Culotta et al., 1996; Dunham et al., 1996; Hsiang et al., 1997; Haydel et al., 2000; Stiell et al., 2001).

Early recognition of symptoms and signs known to increase the risk of development of an intracranial haemorrhage is the key issue of initial management (Haydel et al., 2000; Stiell et al., 2001).

Search strategy

The Task Force systematically searched the English literature in the MEDLINE database (1966–2001) using the keywords minor head injury, mild head injury, mild traumatic brain injury, traumatic brain injury and management. Additional articles were identified from the bibliographies of the articles retrieved (including also the German language) and from textbooks. Articles were included if they contained data on at least one
Mechanisms of traumatic brain injury

Traumatic brain injury is usually the consequence of impact to the head, during which energy (impulse) is transmitted to the head, which undergoes sudden acceleration, deceleration or rotation. Head trauma can be closed, blunt, if the skull remains intact, or penetrating if the skull and (often) the dura are penetrated by sharp objects (including gunshot, knives, screwdrivers, arrows, darts) (Bayston et al., 2000).

Focal damage, or contact injuries, such as skull fracture, extradural haematoma, contusion-related subdural haematoma, cortical contusion, are produced by direct collisional forces acting on the skull, resulting in compression of underlying tissue (coup) or of tissue remote from the site of the impact (contre coup) (Pudenz and Shelden, 1946; Sellier and Unterharnscheidt, 1963).

Impact to the head per se is not mandatory to evoke brain dysfunction or brain damage. Except for skull fracture and extradural haematoma, all types of brain injury can be produced by (angular) acceleration of the head without impact, provided there is a period of loss of consciousness (LOC) (Gennarelli et al., 1982). Thus, shear forces generated in the brain upon sudden rotation may cause damage to axons and blood vessels (Houlbourn, 1943; Gennarelli, 1983; Grcevic, 1988; Gerstenbrand and Stepan, 2001). Controversy exists on whether diffuse brain dysfunction can occur in MTBI without there being structural damage. Spatz and others defined commotio cerebri as a functional disturbance without any damage to brain tissue (‘traceless disturbances’) (Trotter, 1924; Spatz, 1936). This has considerable consequences for the terminology used and is a frequent source of problems in forensic and insurance issues after TBI.

Brain damage after head injury can also be classified by its time course (Teasdale, 1995; Maas et al., 1997). Primary damage occurs at the moment of impact and is thought to be irreversible. Secondary brain damage evolves in hours or days after the injury as a consequence of systemic (predominantly hypoxia and hypotension) or intracranial complications (brain oedema, tentorial or foraminal herniation). Tertiary complications (sepsis, thromboembolic complications, critical illness polyneuropathy) may occur days or weeks after the trauma as a result of an altered catabolic state or increased thrombosis because of bed rest, paralysis or infection (Gerstenbrand, 1977).

Classification of MTBI

Many different terms exist to describe and define MTBI (Frowein and Firsching, 1990; Williams et al., 1990;
Evans, 1992; Pople et al., 1993; Birbamer et al., 1994; Stein and Spettell, 1995; Teasdale, 1995; Culotta et al., 1996; Gomez et al., 1996; Saab et al., 1996; Arienta et al., 1997; Ingebrigtsen et al., 2000; Gerstenbrand and Stepan, 2001; von Wild and Terwey, 2001). Here, a classification is proposed based on admission GCS, trauma history [i.e. the duration of LOC and post-traumatic amnesia (PTA)], neurological findings and risk factors for intracranial complications. Several subclassifications have been proposed to facilitate initial management decisions (Grade B, recommendation) (Table 1, and Fig. 1 later).

Recommendation: MTBI is defined as the consequence of blunt (non-penetrating) impact with sudden acceleration, deceleration or rotation of the head with a GCS score of 13–15 on admission to hospital. If the duration of LOC is maximally 30 min and PTA is less than 60 min, the outcome is considered good (mortality < 1%), especially in the absence of risk factors (mortality approaching 0) (Grade B, recommendation) (Table 1).

Admission GCS

Accurate determination of the admission GCS is important because the number of intracranial abnormalities and the need for neurosurgical interventions are inversely related to the admission GCS (Culotta et al., 1996; Gomez et al., 1996). The time between the accident and hospital admission can influence the GCS. Recent studies used 24 h as the maximum delay for hospital admission, but this delay is often not mentioned (Jennett, 1996; Haydel et al., 2000; Stiell et al., 2001). The GCS is also the most frequently used scoring tool in children; however, it is less appropriate for very young children whose motor and verbal skills are not yet fully developed, and for this reason alternative scales have been developed (Simpson et al., 1991; Durham et al., 2000). The Paediatric Glasgow Coma Scale uses age-adjusted maximal scores but is based on a 14-point GCS instead of the 15-point GCS (Reilly et al., 1988).

Duration of loss of consciousness

Verification of whether LOC has occurred and accurate assessment of the duration of LOC are essential because LOC increases the risk of skull fracture and intracranial complications (EL = Class III) (Teasdale et al., 1990; Stein and Spettell, 1995; Gomez et al., 1996).

There is little agreement on how long LOC should last for the trauma to be defined as MTBI. Times of 5, 10, 15–20, or 30 min have been reported (Rimel et al., 1981; Williams et al., 1990; Evans, 1992; Hahn and McLone, 1993; Gomez et al., 1996; Jennett, 1996; Haydel et al., 2000; Ingebrigtsen et al., 2000). Likewise, there is no uniform duration of coma to define MTBI, and thus any limit set is necessarily arbitrary. The aim of the Task Force is to align these guidelines with other international classifications (e.g. Mild Traumatic Brain Injury Committee of the American Congress of Rehabilitation Medicine, 1993). There are studies showing that outcome is not adversely affected by a short period of LOC. In children, a 100% good outcome was found if LOC was less than 15 min (Hahn and McLone, 1993) (EL = II). In another study, the number of post-traumatic subjective complaints, neurocognitive performance and pre-existing emotional risk factors did not correlate with the duration of LOC after MTBI (EL = II) (Ruff and Jurica, 1999). Jennett (1996) stated that a duration of altered consciousness of less than 15–30 min could be considered as mild (EL = IV).

PTA

Post-traumatic (or anterograde) amnesia is the period of inability to lay down continuous memories (amnesic for ongoing events) and is often characterized by confusion (Levin et al., 1979; Tate et al., 2000). A distinction is usually made between disorientation and amnesia because the two do not always disappear at the same time (Tate et al., 2000). The outcome of MTBI and return to work are determined more by the duration of PTA than by the admission GCS (EL = II–III) (van der Naalt et al., 1999a). If the PTA is shorter than 24 h, a good outcome (as measured with the Glasgow Outcome Scale) is found in 100% of patients (EL = II) (van der Naalt et al., 1999a). Retrograde amnesia is the loss of memory for the period before the accident.

Recommendation: Post-traumatic amnesia shorter than 1 h and/or a retrograde amnesia shorter than 30 min are compatible with MTBI and are associated with a good outcome (Grade B, recommendation).

Risk factors

Several symptoms, signs and risk factors associated with an increased risk of intracranial injury have been identified (EL = II–III) (see Table 2 for overview) (Masters et al., 1987; Chan et al., 1990; Arienta et al., 1997; Haydel et al., 2000).

Recommendation: Recognition of risk factors is important and such factors should be included in a classification system to further assess the risk of immediate complications (extracerebral haematoma) (Grade B, recommendation). Moreover, this may enable assessment of the risk for long-term complaints.
Table 2

Risk factors for intracranial complications after mild traumatic brain injury

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk Factor</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unclear or ambiguous accident history</td>
<td>Masters et al. (1987), Vos et al. (2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continued post-traumatic amnesia*</td>
<td>Haydel et al. (2000), Stiell et al. (2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retrograde amnesia longer than 30 min</td>
<td>Stiell et al. (2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trauma above the clavicles including clinical signs of skull fracture (skull base or depressed skull fracture)</td>
<td>Feuerman et al. (1988), Haydel et al. (2000), Masters et al. (1987), Stiell et al. (2001), Teasdale et al. (1990)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe headache</td>
<td>Haydel et al. (2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vomiting</td>
<td>Nee et al. (1999), Haydel et al. (2000), Stiell et al. (2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focal neurological deficit</td>
<td>Masters et al. (1987), Teasdale et al. (1990)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seizure</td>
<td>Masters et al. (1987)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age &lt; 2 years</td>
<td>Masters et al. (1987), Levin et al. (1992)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age &gt; 60**</td>
<td>Gomez et al. (1996), Haydel et al. (2000), Stiell et al. (2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coagulation disorders</td>
<td>Saab et al. (1996), Stein et al. (1992), Volans (1998)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-energy accident***</td>
<td>American College of Surgeons (1997), Bartlett et al. (1998), Stiell et al. (2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intoxication with alcohol/drugs</td>
<td>Cardoso and Galbraith (1985), Boyle et al. (1991), Kelly (1995)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Continued post-traumatic amnesia may be interpreted as a GCS verbal reaction of 4 and hence may be defined as GCS < 15. **The Canadian CT head rule found age above 65 to be a risk factor (Stiell et al., 2001). ***According to Advanced Trauma Life Support principles, a high-energy (vehicle) accident is defined as initial speed > 64 km/h, major auto-deformity, intrusion into passenger compartment > 30 cm, extrication time from vehicle > 20 min, falls > 6 m, roll over, auto-pedestrian accidents, or motor cycle crash > 32 km/h or with separation of rider and bike (American College of Surgeons Committee on Trauma, 1997; Bartlett et al., 1998).

Complications

Intracranial abnormalities

The various cranial, extracerebral and intracerebral complications of (mild) TBI can be divided into abnormalities that (often) need neurosurgical intervention (extracerebral haematoma, depressed skull fracture, growing skull fracture) and those that cannot be treated surgically (contusion zones, brain oedema, diffuse injury, small haemorrhages, traumatic subarachnoid haemorrhage, pneumocephalus) (Teasdale et al., 1990; Lloyd et al., 1997; Ingebrigtsen et al., 2000). CT is very sensitive for the detection of extracerebral haematoma and other intracranial abnormalities, although no formal CT classification for MTBI exists, and the sensitivity and specificity and the interrater and intrarater variability of the various intracranial CT abnormalities are not known. The percentage of patients with intracranial abnormalities varies with the definitions used, the clinical inclusion criteria and the radiography method used (Stein and Spettell, 1995; Culotta et al., 1996). Reported rates vary between 3 and 13% in patients with an admission GCS of 15 and between 25 and 37.5% in patients with an admission GCS of 13 (Stein and Ross, 1992; Culotta et al., 1996; Dunham et al., 1996; Stiell et al., 2001).

Recommendation: The term intracranial complication includes all cranial, extracerebral, and intracerebral abnormalities in relation to head trauma that can be visualized on CT and that are likely to be the result of the head trauma (Grade C, recommendation).

This definition of intracranial complication affects short- and long-term management issues and makes it possible to relate short- and long-term complications to intracranial abnormalities present after MTBI. CT findings can also predict the absence of late disease progression. In a study in 2032 patients, no neurological deterioration occurred and no haematoma was found when the findings of CT performed within 24 h were normal (EL = Class II) (Dunham et al., 1996).

Recommendation: MTBI may produce a variety of (intra)cranial abnormalities that can be divided into those that can be neurosurgically treated and those that cannot. CT is the gold standard for the detection of intracranial abnormalities and is a safe method for home triage (Livingston et al., 1991; Dunham et al., 1996) (Grade B, recommendation).

Neurosurgical intervention

The probability of neurosurgical intervention after MTBI is between 0.2 and 3.1%. Neurosurgical intervention is defined in different studies as craniotomy or craniectomy for haematoma evacuation or exploration, elevation of depressed skull fracture, intracranial pressure monitoring, intubation for head injury, aggressive medical treatment or not specified (Stein and Ross, 1992; Culotta et al., 1996; Gomez et al., 1996; Haydel et al., 2000; Stiell et al., 2001). An extradural, or epidural, haematoma is a collection of blood
between the dura and skull bone, often as a result of a ruptured meningeal middle artery in close relation to a temporal bone fracture or at other sites as a result of torn dural vessels or oozing from the skull bone (including the fossa posterior) (Frowein and Firsching, 1990). Although such haematomas are probably present from the moment of impact and visible on CT as collections of blood, there is often a delay (lucid interval) between the trauma and the moment the extradural haematoma enlarges and becomes symptomatic (usually within 6 h) (Knuckey et al., 1989; Smith and Miller, 1991). The lower the admission GCS, the higher the risk of an intracranial extracerebral haematoma (Teasdale et al., 1990; Stein and Spettell, 1995; Gomez et al., 1996). The frequency of extracerebral haematoma (extradural or subdural) has been estimated to range from 1 in 31 370 in fully conscious patients without a history of altered consciousness to 1 in 8 (12.7%) in patients with a hospital admission GCS of 13 (Teasdale et al., 1990; Culotta et al., 1996). In a meta-analysis of 10 studies in which at least 50% of the patients underwent CT, the weighted mean frequency of intracranial haemorrhage after MTBI was 0.083% [95% confidence interval (CI): 0.03–0.13%] (Hofman et al., 2000).

The mortality of MTBI, after systemic (multiple) injuries are excluded, is very low and almost exclusively caused by the late or missed diagnosis of deterioration in patients with an intracranial haemorrhage (specifically an extradural haematoma) (EL = II–III) (Mendelow et al., 1979; Klauber et al., 1989; Shackford et al., 1992; Culotta et al., 1996; Dunham et al., 1996; Gomez et al., 1996; Jennett, 1996; Servadei et al., 2001; Stiell et al., 2001). The prognosis of extradural haematoma is good, especially when it is detected early in fully conscious patients and surgery is performed as soon as possible (Paterniti et al., 1994; Servadei et al., 1995; Servadei, 1997). However, when rapid neurological deterioration occurs or when patients are already in coma, mortality rises sharply with the delay between deterioration and surgery (EL = III) (Mendelow et al., 1979; Seelig et al., 1984; Servadei, 1997).

The growing skull fracture has a very low frequency of 0.05–0.6%. It is most likely to occur in children younger than 6 years, when a dural tear beneath a skull fracture is present and systolic-diastolic pulsations result in widening of the fracture margins and interposition of leptomeninges or brain tissue into the fracture. It is mentioned here as a long-term complication that occurs if early diagnosis and intervention are deferred.

Recommendation: The extracerebral haemorrhage (extradural haematoma) that can be treated neurosurgically is potentially the most threatening complication after MTBI (Grade B, recommendation). An extradural haematoma can be easily identified with CT, which should be carried out urgently (Grade B, recommendation).

Recommendation: The primary goal of initial management in MTBI is to identify the patients at risk of intracranial abnormalities and especially those that may need neurosurgical intervention. Use of a clinical decision scheme based on risk factors may facilitate this process (Grade B, recommendation) (see Fig. 1).

Seizures

Patients with MTBI have only a slightly increased risk of developing post-traumatic seizures including early post-traumatic seizures (a seizure occurring in the first week) (Schierhout and Roberts, 1997; Annegers et al., 1998). Prophylactic antiepileptic treatment is not warranted. A systematic review of randomized controlled trials including 2036 patients showed that prophylactic antiepileptic treatment did not reduce mortality, neurological disability or late seizures (EL = I) (Schierhout and Roberts, 1998). If recurrent seizures occur, treatment is probably necessary and alternative explanations (i.e. delayed haematoma, Wernicke–Korsakoff syndrome, alcohol withdrawal or electrolyte disturbances) should be taken into account.

Recommendation: There is insufficient proof for prophylactic antiepileptic treatment after an early seizure (Grade A).

Skull base fracture

A skull base or temporal bone fracture or open fracture increases the risk of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) leakage and CSF fistula formation (Dagi et al., 1983; Brodie, 1997; Brodie and Thompson, 1997). The reported incidence of CSF leakage after basal skull fracture varies from approximately 10 to 20%, and the incidence of bacterial meningitis from 2 to 50% (Leech and Paterson, 1973; Dagi et al., 1983; Helling et al., 1988; Marion, 1991; Brodie, 1997; Brodie and Thompson, 1997). The role of antibiotic prophylaxis in open or basilar skull fractures remains controversial; the conclusions of two recent meta-analyses on the prophylactic use of antibiotics were contradictory (Demetriades et al., 1992; Brodie, 1997; Villalobos et al., 1998).

Recommendation: There is insufficient proof for prophylactic antibiotic treatment against meningitis in patients with clinical signs of a skull base fracture, and a definitive study of prophylactic administration...
of antibiotics for post-traumatic CSF fistula has yet to be performed (Grade C) (Working Party of the British Society for Antimicrobial Chemotherapy, 1994).

Patients on anticoagulation

Recommendation: All patients with head injury should be questioned about the use of anticoagulation therapy.
(Grade C, recommendation). All patients with head injury on anticoagulation therapy should have their INR checked and the indication for anticoagulation reviewed (Grade C). These patients should be admitted for neurological observation (Grade C, recommendation) (Saab et al., 1996). If CT demonstrates an intracranial haematoma, the INR should be corrected immediately. (Over-)anticoagulation can be best corrected with fresh frozen plasma and vitamin K. If spontaneous coagulation disorders or additional injuries with bleeding exist consultation with a coagulation specialist should be sought (Grade C, recommendation).

Ancillary investigations

Skull radiography versus CT

The diagnostic value of plain skull radiography is debated. Because earlier studies showed that radiographic evidence of a skull fracture increases the risk of intracranial haemorrhage, skull radiography was used as the principle triage tool on which management decisions were based (EL = Class III) (Mendelow et al., 1983; Masters et al., 1987; Teasdale et al., 1990; Nee et al., 1999). However, recent studies comparing skull radiography with CT showed a low sensitivity and specificity of the presence of a skull fracture on skull radiographs for intracranial haemorrhage (Borczuk, 1995). A meta-analysis confirmed that skull radiography is of little value in the initial assessment of MTBI (EL = I) (Hofman et al., 2000). On the basis of studies in which at least 50% of patients had a CT of the brain, the estimated sensitivity of radiographic evidence of skull fracture for a diagnosis of intracranial haemorrhage was only 0.38 with a corresponding specificity of 0.95 (Hofman et al., 2000).

Recommendation: Skull radiography is of insufficient value in the detection of intracranial abnormalities in patients with MTBI (Grade A, recommendation).

CT

Two large prospective studies investigated a clinical decision rule for use of CT to demonstrate the need for neurosurgical intervention or clinically important brain injury after MTBI (Haydel et al., 2000; Stiell et al., 2001). In a prospective study involving 1429 patients with minor head injury (minor head injury in this study defined as LOC and an admission GCS of 15), seven predictors (headache, vomiting, seizure, PTA, trauma above the clavicles, drug or alcohol intoxication, or age over 60 years) were retrieved after chi-square analysis and determination of likelihood ratios for each criterion. This model showed 100% (95% CI: 95–100%) sensitivity for intracranial complications. Application of the criteria would have resulted in a CT ordering proportion of 78% (or reduced use of CT of 22%) (Haydel et al., 2000).

In the Canadian prospective cohort study involving 3121 patients, 250 patients (8%) had clinically important brain injury and 31 (1%) required neurosurgical intervention. Five high-risk factors (failure to reach GCS of 15 within 2 h, suspected open skull fracture, any signs of basal skull fracture, vomiting > 2 episodes or age > 65 years) were derived which had 100% sensitivity (95% CI: 92–100%) for predicting the need for neurosurgical intervention (Stiell et al., 2001). Interestingly, this would lead to a CT ordering proportion of 32%. In addition, two medium-risk factors (amnesia before impact > 30 min and dangerous mechanism of injury) were 98.4% sensitive (95% CI 96–99%) and 49.6% specific for predicting clinically important brain damage. This would lead to a CT ordering proportion of 54%. Both studies concluded that in patients with MTBI the use of CT can be safely limited to those who have certain clinical findings (Haydel et al., 2000; Stiell et al., 2001).

Recommendation: CT is considered a gold standard for the detection of life threatening (and other intracranial) abnormalities after MTBI and recommended in those with documented LOC and/or PTA and considered mandatory in all patients with certain clinical findings (GCS = 13–14, presence of risk factors) (Grade B, recommendation).

MRI

Cerebral magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is not routinely used in TBI, although in the acute stage (within 3 days of injury) MRI is more sensitive than CT for detecting intracranial abnormalities (Wilson et al., 1988; Yokota et al., 1991; Levin et al., 1992a; van der Naalt et al., 1999b). Diffusion tensor imaging may reveal abnormalities not detected by conventional MRI (Wiesmann et al., 1999; Rugg-Gunn et al., 2001).

The relationship between intracranial abnormalities on MRI and outcome is not entirely clear, and more research is needed (Voller et al., 2001). When early MRI (within 21 days from the injury) and late MRI (between 5 and 18 months) findings were compared in patients with mild, moderate or severe TBI, measures of neuropsychological outcome correlated with late MRI findings only (Wilson et al., 1988).

Recommendation: MRI may be of value for the detection of structural brain damage in patients without CT abnormalities, and especially in those with long-term complaints (Grade B, recommendation).
PET and SPECT

Positron emission tomography (PET) and technetium $^{99m}$-hexa-methylpropyleneamineoxime single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) may show abnormalities in the acute and chronic stages when CT or MRI and neurological examination do not show damage (Ichise et al., 1994; Jacobs et al., 1994; Ruff et al., 1994). Normal findings for SPECT performed within 1–4 weeks of mild and moderate TBI were predictive of a good outcome after 1 year, with a negative predictive value of 97% (Jacobs et al., 1994). The specificity of abnormal findings, however, has been questioned (Alexander, 1998). A similar pattern of hypometabolism in the frontopolar and lateral temporal cortices and the basal ganglia has been reported among patients with depression but no injury (Dolan et al., 1994; Mayberg, 1994).

Recommendation: No recommendations for the use of PET or SPECT in the initial phase after MTBI can be given at present.

Biochemical markers of traumatic brain injury

Brain-specific proteins, in particular S100B and neuron-specific enolase, may be released into the circulation after TBI. Serum levels of S100B are higher in patients with intracranial pathology and correlate with clinical outcome and the severity of primary and secondary brain damage (EL = II) (Raabe et al., 1999; Romner et al., 2000). Undetectable serum levels of S100B are predictive of normal intracranial findings on CT, and thus S100B could be used to select patients for CT after MTBI (EL = Class I) (Romner et al., 2000). However, these results have to be confirmed in large prospective studies. In the future, this may be of relevance in the medico-legal context, to prove that the acute symptoms and signs and/or the long-term disability or neuropsychological impairments after MTBI are indeed a consequence of structural brain damage or of psychological stress in reaction to the event, alcohol intoxication, pre-existent disorders, systemic injury or other causes (see also Romner et al., 2000).

Recommendation: The study of biochemical markers of MTBI is of considerable interest (especially the negative predictive value of normal serum concentrations for the absence of intracranial abnormalities), but at present no recommendations can be given and more research is needed (Grade B, recommendation).

Initial patient management

According to the Advanced Trauma Life Support guidelines, any patient with head injury should be evaluated for surgical trauma (EL = III) (American College of Surgeons Committee on Trauma, 1997). Proper triage includes assessing the airways, breathing, and circulation, and also the cervical spine. A neurological examination is obligatory and should include level of consciousness, presence of anterograde or retrograde amnesia and/or disorientation, higher cognitive functions, presence of focal neurological deficit (asymmetrical motor reactions or reflexes, unilateral paresis or cranial nerve deficit), pupillary responses, blood pressure and pulse rate (Valadka and Narayan, 1996; Ingebrigtsen et al., 2000; Tate et al., 2000). In addition, the presence of frontal lobe signs, cerebellar symptoms or sensory deficits should be actively investigated.

Recommendation: All patients with TBI should undergo a neurological examination, in addition to a surgical examination. Furthermore, obtaining accurate history (including medication), preferably with information being obtained from a witness of the accident or personnel involved in first-aid procedures outside the hospital, is important to ascertain the circumstances under which the accident took place and to assess the duration of LOC and amnesia (Grade C, recommendation).

The key issue in daily practice remains the question whether patients should be routinely admitted but not necessarily undergo CT of the head or whether patients should be admitted selectively but undergo CT of the head. MTBI category 2 and 3 patients should be evaluated with CT (EL = III) (Teasdale et al., 1990; Shackford et al., 1992; Stein and Ross, 1992). An algorithm for the initial management of MTBI is given in Fig. 1.

Recommendations: Hospitals should have a protocol for resuscitation and triage of patients with MTBI (Grade C). Category 2 and 3 patients should be admitted to a neurotrauma centre. All children with MTBI should be seen by a paediatrician or a child neurologist (Grade C). CT is recommended for category 1 patients and is mandatory for all category 2 and 3 patients (see Fig. 1) (Grade B, recommendation). If CT findings are normal, adult category 1 patients can be discharged. Head injury warning instructions should be given to the patient and family members. Compliance is greater if both verbal and written instructions are given (EL = III) (de Louw et al., 1994; Valadka and Narayan, 1996; Ingebrigtsen et al., 2000). A repeat CT should be considered if the admission CT findings were abnormal or if risk factors are present (Table 2) (Grade C, recommendation).

*If CT availability is limited, conventional skull radiography can be performed but the sensitivity and specificity for intracranial abnormalities is low.
Clinical observation

Another issue is the necessity for and duration of neurological observation after MTBI. Patients in category 1 can be discharged home with head injury warning instructions if CT findings are normal (appendix to appear on the website of the EFNS: http://www.efns.org/) (Warren and Kissoon, 1989; Ward et al., 1992; Valadka and Narayan, 1996). Patients in categories 2 or 3 should preferably be admitted to hospital for observation, although the necessity of this can be questioned in some patients in category 2 (e.g. patients older than 60 years of age who are not on anticoagulation therapy). Scandinavian guidelines recommend an observation period of minimally 12 h, whereas other guidelines recommend a period of 24 h or longer (Masters et al., 1987; Bartlett et al., 1998; American Academy of Pediatrics, 1999; Ingebrigtsen et al., 2000; Twijnstra et al., 2001). The main goal of clinical observation is to detect, at an early stage, the development of extradural or subdural haematoma or diffuse cerebral oedema. A secondary goal is to determine the duration of PTA. An extradural haematoma usually develops within 6 h, and thus the initial CT may be false negative when performed very early (within 1 h) (Frowein et al., 1989; Smith and Miller, 1991; Servadei et al., 1995). Repeated neurological observation (see above) is therefore obligatory for the timely detection of clinical deterioration and other neurological deficits (such as sensory deficits, frontal lobe signs, cerebellar symptoms, etc.).

Recommendation: A repeat neurological examination should be carried out, its frequency being dependent on the clinical condition of the patient and the presence of CT abnormalities. For instance, the patient should be examined every 15–30 min and if no complications or deterioration occurs, every 1–2 h. The use of a neurological checklist may be helpful to document the neurological condition and its course. If deterioration occurs, possible intracranial causes should be evaluated with (repeated) CT (Grade C, recommendation).

Bed rest

No randomized trials exist on the value and duration of bed rest and on the duration of sick leave after MTBI. A survey among various European hospitals showed major differences in management with regard to the ordering (and duration) of bed rest, home observation, sick leave and follow-up examination (de Kruijk et al., 2001). A study in which patients were randomized for complete bed rest (for a period of 6 days) versus no bed rest showed no treatment effect on the number of post-traumatic complaints and quality of life 6 months after the trauma (de Kruijk, 2001). Graded resumption of activities after discharge and follow-up may beneficially influence the recovery process (EL = IV) (Alexander, 1995; Kibby and Long, 1997; Ingebrigtsen et al., 1998).

Recommendation: No recommendations can be given for the need for or duration of bed rest. Graded resumption of activities (including return to work) is probably the best strategy (Grade B, recommendation).

Follow-up

It has been shown that regular specialized outpatient follow-up visits are effective in reducing social morbidity and the severity of symptoms after MTBI (Wade et al., 1998). In a large randomized controlled trial, patients with a PTA shorter than 7 days and who received specialist intervention had significantly less social disability and fewer post-concussion symptoms 6 months after injury than those who did not receive the service (EL = II) (Wade et al., 1998).

Recommendation: It is recommended that all patients in MTBI category 3 who have been admitted to hospital should be seen at least once in the outpatient clinic approximately 1–2 weeks after discharge (Grade C) (Wade et al., 1998). Patients who are discharged immediately with head injury instructions should contact their general practitioners, who can decide to refer the patient to the neurologist if complaints persist (Grade C, recommendation).

Most patients return to work despite having complaints (van der Naalt et al., 1999a). Typical post-traumatic complaints are headache, dizziness, fatigue, irritability, anxiety, insomnia, photophobia, phonophobia, and memory and concentration disturbances (Dikmen et al., 1986; Evans, 1992; Binder, 1997). Post-traumatic complaints usually occur in the first 6–12 weeks after the trauma and tend to disappear by 6 months; however, in 7–8% of patients the post-traumatic complaints become chronic (Fenton et al., 1993; Bohnen et al., 1994, 1995; Dikmen et al., 1994, 1995; Binder, 1997). Follow-up visits in this patient group are determined by the presence and persistence of post-traumatic complaints such as headache, dizziness, poor concentration and memory disturbances.

Recommendation: Neuropsychological examination may be useful after 6 months in patients with persistent complaints, to determine whether these complaints are organic in nature or the result of pre-morbid personality, anxiety, psychological stress in reaction to the event, other pre-existent disorders or other causes (Grade C, recommendation) (Alexander, 1995).
Conclusions

The guidelines presented in this paper incorporate extensive use of CT. Moreover, the use of a clinical decision rule for CT and hospital admission after MTBI may increase the use of CT compared with other existing protocols. There is no agreement in the literature on whether patients with MTBI should be admitted selectively but undergo CT or whether all patients should be admitted but only a few undergo CT (Stiell et al., 2001; Twijnstra et al., 2001). A strategy that uses CT for all MTBI patients regardless of clinical findings is probably not cost-effective. The established risk factors for intracranial sequelae are predominantly based on retrospective studies which did not always use CT as the gold standard. Therefore, the value of clinical risk factors in predicting early and late sequelae (complaints, neuropsychological disturbances) should be validated in prospective European studies. It should also be evaluated whether it is feasible to use a clinical prediction rule to reduce the use of CT without negatively affecting clinical outcome. If feasible, this would increase the time- and cost-effectiveness of investigations. In future studies, outcome should include the need for neurosurgical interventions, CT abnormalities, and late (6 months to 1 year) clinical outcome measured with neuropsychological and/or neurological and quality of life scales.

Computed tomography is the preferred imaging method for MTBI although MRI is more sensitive. As MRI becomes more widely available, it may have a greater role in the evaluation of more subtle intracranial abnormalities in patients with MTBI (Haydel et al., 2000; Voller et al., 2001). Finally, it may be of interest to further explore the usefulness of biochemical markers as indicators of brain damage after MTBI.
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